



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/611,518	07/07/2000	Jae-Yoel Kim	678-509 (P9463)	6612
28249	7590	11/10/2004		EXAMINER
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553				COLIN, CARL G
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/611,518	KIM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carl Colin	2136

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2004.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 07 July 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. In response to communications filed on 6/30/2004, claim 22 has been amended. The following claims 1-30 are presented for examination.

2. The objection to claim 22 has been withdrawn in view of the amendment filed on 6/30/2004 has been withdrawn.

- 2.1 One of the information disclosure statements filed on 12/22/2003 fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered because the non-patent literature does not contain an English translation.

- 2.2 Applicant's arguments, pages 15-17, filed on 6/30/2004, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-30 have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive. Regarding claims 1, 11, 21, and 29, Applicant argues that Dahlman does not disclose generating a secondary scrambling code. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Upon further consideration, Examiner asserts that Dahlman discloses modifying sequence with shift registers to generate scrambling codes that meets the recitation of masking values to produce a secondary sequence and also discloses combining the modifying code with another code to generate a secondary code, for example (see

column 5, lines 1-35 and claims). The masking process is also disclosed in prior art of Burns (see for example (column 3). Claims 1-30 have not overcome the prior art references either alone or in combination. Therefore, Examiner maintains the rejection of claims 1-30 under 35 USC 103 in view of Dahlman.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3.1 **Claims 1-30** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,339,646 to **Dahlman et al.** in view of US Patent 6,141,374 to **Burns**.

3.2 **As per claims 1, 11, 21, and 29, Dahlman et al.** substantially teaches an apparatus for generating a primary scrambling code and secondary scrambling codes associated with the primary scrambling code for a mobile telecommunication system, the apparatus comprising: a first shift register memory for generating a first m-sequence, said first shift register memory

having a plurality of registers with values a_i ($i = 0$ to $c-1$ where c = the total number of registers) (see figure 40; a second shift register memory for generating a second m-sequence, said second shift register memory having a plurality of registers with values b_i ($i = 0$ to $c-1$ where c = the total number of registers) (see figure 4); a primary adder for adding the first m-sequence with the second m-sequence to generate the primary scrambling code (see figure 4); a plurality of masking sections for masking a_i ($i = 0$ to $c-1$) to produce secondary sequences (see figure 4).

Dahlman et al. also discloses using plurality of adders for combining channelization codes and scrambling codes to produce other secondary scrambling codes and not limited to any variations and rearrangements (see column 5, lines 18-35). **Dahlman et al.** modifying sequence with shift registers to generate scrambling codes that meets the recitation of masking values to produce a secondary sequence and also discloses combining the modifying code with another code to generate a secondary code, for example (see column 5, lines 1-35 and claims). **Burns** in an analogous art teaches a receiver for generating code sequences and a plurality of masking sections for masking a_i ($i = 0$ to $c-1$) to produce code sequences, wherein each of the masking sections cyclically shifts the first m-sequence by using a mask (see figure 4; column 8; see also prior art in **Burns**, for instance column 3, lines 40-67) as known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the masking concept as disclosed in **Burns** to generate secondary codes as disclosed by **Dahlman** to provide masking sections for masking a_i ($i = 0$ to $c-1$) to produce secondary sequences wherein each of the masking sections cyclically shifts the first m-sequence in order to have relatively low clocking rate (see column 4, lines 57-59); and using a plurality of secondary adders for adding the secondary sequences with the second m-sequence to produce the secondary scrambling

codes. This modification would have been obvious because one skilled in the art would have been motivated by the suggestions provided by **Dahlman** and **Burns** to generate scramble codes without much complexity.

As per claims 2, 12, and 22, Burns discloses the limitation of wherein the first and second m-sequences are generated based on a first generator polynomial and a second generator polynomial, respectively (see figure 4).

As per claims 3, 13, and 23, Burns discloses the limitation of wherein the masking in step (d) is expressed by multiplying mask codes by register values (see figures 3 and 4).

As per claims 4-5, 7-8, 14-15, 17-18, 24, and 26, Dahlman et al. discloses the limitation of cyclically shifting the first shift register memory wherein cyclically shifting the first shift register memory comprises the steps of adding predetermined bits of the first shift register memory based on the first generator polynomial of the first m-sequence, right shifting the first shift register memory and inserting the value of the added predetermined bits into a_{c-1} (see column 8, lines 45-61 and see figure 4).

As per claims 6, 9, 16, 19, 25, and 27, Dahlman et al. discloses the limitation of wherein a_0 is added with a_7 to form a next a_{c-1} and b_0 is added with b_5 , b_7 , and b_{10} to form a next b_{c-1} (see figure 4).

As per claims 10 and 20, Burns discloses the limitation of further comprising the step of delaying the Lth secondary scrambling code to produce a Q-channel component of the Lth secondary scrambling code, wherein the undelayed Lth secondary scrambling code is a I-channel component of the Lth secondary scrambling code (see column 6, lines 1-14 and column 3, lines 53-57).

As per claims 28 and 30, Burns discloses the limitation of further comprising a plurality of delay blocks for delaying the outputs of the primary adder and the secondary adders for producing Q channel components of the primary scrambling code and the secondary scrambling codes (see column 2, lines 50-67). It is apparent to one skilled in the art to use the output of the adders as described above for producing Q channel components of the primary scrambling code and the secondary scrambling codes.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4.1 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure as the art discloses the use of multiple access coding for radio communications.

US Patents: 5,771,288 Dent et al.
3,818,442 Solomon

4.2 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl Colin whose telephone number is 571-272-3862. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8:00-6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

四

Carl Colin

Patent Examiner

November 1, 2004


AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100